



Sisters of the Good Shepherd

Safeguarding Audit Report December 2021

National Catholic
Safeguarding Standards

Report prepared by:



A safe Church for everyone

Australian Catholic Safeguarding Ltd acknowledges the lifelong trauma of abuse victims, survivors and their families, the failure of the Catholic Church to protect, believe and respond justly to children and vulnerable adults, and the consequent breaches of community trust.

Australian Catholic Safeguarding Ltd is committed to fostering a culture of safety and care for children, and adults at risk.

This report is available on the Publications and Reports page of the [ACSL website](#)

Australian Catholic Safeguarding Ltd
278 Victoria Parade
East Melbourne, Victoria, 3002

Phone: 1300 603 411

Email: info@acsltd.org.au

Website: www.acsltd.org.au

Table of Contents

1. Executive Summary.....	4
1.1 CONTEXT.....	4
1.2 BACKGROUND	4
1.3 AUDIT APPROACH	5
1.4 OVERALL AUDIT FINDINGS.....	5
2. Assessment Of Compliance with NCSS Indicators	8
3. Detailed Findings.....	17
Appendix A	19
Appendix B	20
Appendix C	21

1. Executive Summary

1.1 Context

Australian Catholic Safeguarding Ltd (ACSL) was established in 2020, to bring together the work of Catholic Professional Standards Ltd (CPSL), the Australian Catholic Centre for Professional Standards (ACCPS) and the Australian Catholic Ministry Register (ACMR). ACSL is a company limited by guarantee, owned by the Australian Catholic Bishops Conference, Catholic Religious Australia, and the Association of Ministerial Public Juridic Persons.

ACSL is committed to fostering a nationally consistent culture of safety and care throughout the Catholic Church in Australia. This includes providing a range of services to support the implementation of the [National Catholic Safeguarding Standards](#) (NCSS), a framework for the protection and care of adults at risk and children. ACSL maintains the NCSS, undertakes audits and reviews of Church entities, and publishes reports which demonstrate a Church entity's commitment to the NCSS.

ACSL's core values are courage, compassion, and honesty. These values guide the way we work and inform cultural change within the Catholic Church and the wider community. We take our duty to care for and protect all children and adults at risk seriously and have zero tolerance for abuse of any kind.

This audit report includes the results of the assessment against the National Catholic Safeguarding Standards for the Good Shepherd Sisters.

1.2 Background

The Sisters of the Good Shepherd are a congregation of religious women founded in France in 1835 by Saint Mary Euphrasia Pelletier. On 24 June 1863 the first four Sisters of the Good Shepherd landed in Melbourne, having travelled from Angers in France at the request of Bishop James Goold of Melbourne, to establish a female rescue home. They purchased a property at Abbotsford, from which they ran an industrial school, commercial laundry, and provided accommodation for female juvenile offenders, and poor and homeless women.

In 1973 the Sisters of the Good Shepherd adopted a policy of deinstitutionalisation. They went on to provide hostel accommodation for the girls and women. The Sisters of the Good Shepherd worked in such areas as youth, family and financial counselling, emergency housing, and prison, hospital and industrial chaplaincies.

The work with children and families carried out by the Sisters of the Good Shepherd became the responsibility of the Good Shepherd Youth and Family Service which was established in 1976.

As part of their succession planning, the Sisters established Good Shepherd Australia New Zealand (GSANZ) in 2008 to create a formal framework for sustaining and developing the Good Shepherd mission into the future. The Sisters established the No Interest Loans Scheme (NILS) which has grown across Australia and New Zealand. This microfinance program continues to underpin the work of the Sisters today, empowering women, girls and families.

There are currently 34 Sisters of the Good Shepherd in Australia and New Zealand, half of whom are active in ministries including governance, administration, pastoral care, spiritual direction, counselling, parish and music ministry, connections with former residents, advocacy, and support for older sisters in residential aged care. They support Good Shepherd events and social justice and advocacy projects, particularly those relating to Indigenous affairs, anti-trafficking, refugees and asylum seekers.

The Sisters are also actively involved in the Asia Pacific region of Good Shepherd and engage in social justice and partnership work at the international level.

The Province Leadership Team exercises pastoral and governance responsibilities for the Sisters, oversight of Good Shepherd Australia New Zealand and is in liaison with the Congregational Leadership Team, based in Rome.

The Order of the Sisters of the Good Shepherd has been assessed as a “Category Two” Church entity for application of the NCSS (Working with Children). There are 7 NCSS Standards, 28 NCSS Criteria and 84 NCSS Indicators that apply to Category Two entities. For further details of the category system and the indicators which are applicable, refer to the NCSS documentation available on the Audit Framework page of the [ACSL website](#).

Our assessment of how the Sisters of the Good Shepherd has implemented the National Catholic Safeguarding Standards is detailed in Section 2 of this report. Our recommendations for improvement are included in Section 3 of this report.

The full audit report is also publicly available on the Publications and Reports page of the [ACSL website](#).

1.3 Audit Approach

The purpose of the NCSS is to build a culture of shared responsibility for safeguarding and to ensure that policies, practices, and codes of behaviour work together to prevent, detect, and respond appropriately to potential or actual incidents of child abuse.

The audit processes we have undertaken are intended to provide reasonable assurance that safeguarding controls have been designed appropriately and are operating effectively. Therefore, this report provides a point-in-time assessment of the safeguarding practices implemented by the Sisters of the Good Shepherd and the extent to which it meets the requirements of the NCSS.

Our testing procedures included the following:

- interviews, observations and enquiry with the Sisters of the Good Shepherd Leadership Team, the Safeguarding Coordinator, individual Sisters, ministries and relevant personnel.
- review of key safeguarding documents, policies, and procedures; and
- assessment of the design and testing of the operation of safeguarding controls implemented by the Good Shepherd Sisters.

This audit was commenced in October 2021 when restrictions on travel and face-to-face meetings were still in place due to the coronavirus pandemic. As such, the review of documents, assessment of policies and procedures, discussions and interviews with personnel were largely conducted remotely, using email, photos, video conferencing and other electronic communication methods.

The findings in this report relate solely to the ministries or activities of the Sisters of the Good Shepherd which are not subject to external accreditation or processes. It excludes the governance and operation of GSA NZ.

1.4 Overall Audit Findings

Assessment of the implementation of NCSS Indicators has been determined using a four-point maturity scale.¹

Our assessment indicates that the Sisters of the Good Shepherd have fully implemented or are substantially progressed in the implementation of all 63² (100%) Indicators relevant to their operations. The key findings from the audit are summarised below.

¹ Refer Appendix A for definitions of the maturity scale used for the Compliance Assessment.

² Of the 84 NCSS Indicators applicable to Category Two, 21 of these are not relevant to Good Shepherd Sisters operations.

NCSS Standard 1 – Committed leadership, governance and culture

The Sisters of the Good Shepherd demonstrate committed leadership and a strong culture of safeguarding that is embedded in their organisation. The Province Leadership Team appoints the Safeguarding Coordinator who takes responsibility for safeguarding and professional standards throughout the Sisters of the Good Shepherd Community. The role and scope of this team is well documented. The Safeguarding Coordinator is responsible for overseeing safeguarding practices and for monitoring of compliance with the NCSS.

Safeguarding materials and guidance has been developed and provided to Sisters, staff and volunteers. This includes a detailed Safeguarding Policy for Children and Adults at Risk, (Safeguarding Policy), Commitment Statement and Code of Conduct.

The leadership team is engaged in a modified program run by the Gregorian University (Rome) on Child Safeguarding. The program will enable Sisters and personnel working throughout the Asia-Pacific region to better understand child safeguarding and protection, particularly in countries where national legislation is wanting. The program will run through to June 2022.

A safeguarding risk assessment has been conducted for the activities of Good Shepherd members. As most Sisters are fully retired or in aged care, the safeguarding risk profile of the Australian Unit is considered to be very low. The Sisters of the Good Shepherd have an appropriate Privacy Policy which covers information sharing and record keeping requirements.

NCSS Standard 5 – Robust human resource management

The Sisters of the Good Shepherd draw on the human resources services of GSANZ, if necessary, which has comprehensive recruitment policies and procedures that outline safeguarding requirements in its advertising, vetting, and screening of personnel. The Induction and Recruitment Policy/procedures clearly express zero tolerance of child abuse.

While the Sisters of the Good Shepherd do not have a formal recruitment policy, safeguarding requirements are evident and working with children checks are required as part of the requirements of the Safeguarding Policy. Sisters have been appropriately inducted in the Good Shepherds' safeguarding practices and policies. The Sisters of the Good Shepherd do not have any candidates in formation and do not intend to accept any candidates in the future.

The Register of WWCC and other documentation including the member exemption table is in keeping with NCSS requirements.

NCSS Standard 6 – Effective complaints management

The ACSL audit does not re-assess the outcomes of individual complaints. The audit assesses procedures in place to prevent, detect, report and respond to any incidents and complaints, and the associated training, awareness and education available for all personnel.

The Sisters of the Good Shepherd's Safeguarding Policy contains comprehensive complaints handling procedures, and the Sisters have been provided with a range of materials which provides greater detail and information on the processes for reporting, investigating and managing complaints. Audit procedures indicate that complaints handling procedures are appropriate. There are no complaints being managed.

The Record Management processes are in development. We note that the requirement to maintain safeguarding records for a minimum of 50 years will be documented in the Privacy Policy.

NCSS Standard 7 – Ongoing education and training

Interviews with Province Leadership Team, and members indicate a sound understanding of the requirements for child safeguarding, including knowledge of the appropriate response should a complaint or concern be raised.

The Sisters of the Good Shepherd have provided safeguarding training to the Sisters and staff, with records of attendance appropriately kept. There are high level of awareness and knowledge of safeguarding practices across the Good Shepherd Sisters community.

NCSS Standard 8 – Safe physical and online environments

The Sisters of the Good Shepherd have a fit-for purpose Safe Use of Technology Policy which provides guidance on appropriate and ethical use of technology. All technology and communications devices are managed, supported and monitored under a service agreement with GSANZ. GSANZ has procedures in place to manage contractors conducting work on their property.

NCSS Standard 9 – Continuous improvement

The Sisters of the Good Shepherd have a formal Safeguarding Implementation Plan, including self-audit and monitoring processes, which will be updated to include any learnings arising from the ACSL audit.

NCSS Standard 10 – Policies and procedures support child safety

Key policies and procedures relating to safeguarding requirements are in place and operating effectively. These are reviewed and updated on a regular basis.

Table 1 shows the overall assessment for each of the relevant Standards.

Table 1:

National Catholic Safeguarding Standard	# NCSS Indicators (Category Two)	Not Relevant to Good Shepherd Sisters	Assessment of Compliance			
			Managed & measurable	Defined & developed	Initial / Ad hoc	Not addressed
1: Committed leadership, governance & culture	14	1	10	3	-	-
5: Robust human resource management	21	16	5	-	-	-
6: Effective complaints management	24	2	19	3	-	-
7: Ongoing training & education	7	-	7	-	-	-
8: Safe physical and online environments	6	-	6	-	-	-
9: Continuous improvement	6	2	4	-	-	-
10: Policies and procedures support child safety	6	-	6	-	-	-
TOTAL	84	21	57	6	0	0
			63 (100%)		0 (0%)	

Audit recommendations are classified according to priority and urgency for remediation.³

There are three Priority Two audit recommendations for the Sisters of the Good Shepherd.

We would like to thank the Province Leadership Team and all who were involved in the audit for their cooperation and assistance.

2. Assessment of Compliance with NCSS Indicators

Standard 1		Committed leadership, governance and culture			
<i>Child safeguarding is embedded in the entity's leadership, governance and culture</i>					
Criterion 1.1 - The entity publicly commits to child safeguarding and takes a zero-tolerance approach to child abuse.		Managed & Measurable	Defined & Developed	Initial/ Ad-hoc	Not Addressed
1.1.1	The entity has a Child Safeguarding Policy that is approved and endorsed by the Church Authority and/or relevant leadership body and is publicly available.	✓			
1.1.2	The entity publishes a Child Safeguarding Commitment Statement which is openly displayed and publicly available.		✓		
<p>Observations: Requirements of the Indicators are in place.</p> <p>Recommendations For Improvement: Make the Safeguarding Commitment more visible on website. Sign off by the Leadership Team of the Safeguarding Policy. See recommendation #1</p>					
Criterion 1.2 - A child safeguarding culture is championed and modelled at all levels of the entity from the top down and bottom up.		Managed & Measurable	Defined & Developed	Initial/ Ad-hoc	Not Addressed
1.2.1	The Church Authority and leaders of the entity create and maintain an entity's culture of safeguarding by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • promoting child safeguarding regularly; • emphasising that child-safeguarding is everyone's responsibility; and • actively monitoring safeguarding compliance and risk management. 	✓			
1.2.4	Personnel understand that child safeguarding is everyone's responsibility and are empowered to provide input on child safeguarding practices.	✓			
<p>Observations: Requirements of the Indicators are in place. No recommendations for improvement noted.</p>					
Criterion 1.3 - Governance arrangements facilitate implementation of a Child Safeguarding Policy across the entity's activities.		Managed & Measurable	Defined & Developed	Initial/ Ad-hoc	Not Addressed
1.3.1	Governance arrangements are transparent and include safeguarding roles and responsibilities to ensure accountability for safeguarding is clear.	✓			
<p>Observations: Requirements of the Indicators are in place. No recommendations for improvement noted.</p>					

³ Refer Appendix B for definitions of the Priority ratings used for audit recommendations.

Criterion 1.4 - A Code of Conduct provides guidelines for personnel on expected behavioural standards and responsibilities.		Managed & Measurable	Defined & Developed	Initial/ Ad-hoc	Not Addressed
1.4.1	The Code of Conduct explicitly and equally applies to all personnel and provides guidance on appropriate and expected standards of behaviour of personnel towards children.	✓			
1.4.2	The Code of Conduct is written in accessible language and communicated to personnel, children, families, and carers.	✓			
1.4.3	The Code of Conduct considers the needs of all children, paying particular attention to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander children, children with disability, children from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds and children with particular vulnerabilities, for example, children who can't live at home.	✓			
Observations: Requirements of the Indicators are in place. No recommendations for improvement noted.					
Criterion 1.5 - The entity has risk management strategies focusing on preventing, identifying, and mitigating risks to children.		Managed & Measurable	Defined & developed	Initial/ Ad-hoc	Not Addressed
1.5.1	The entity has a clearly documented child safeguarding risk management plan, as part of its overall risk management strategy, which considers actual and potential risks relating to children.		✓		
1.5.2	The entity has appropriate risk management processes in place to assess, evaluate, review and oversee the safeguarding of children participating in, or receiving, ministries offshore including cultural immersions, pilgrimages, solidarity campaigns and world youth days.		✓		
1.5.3	Leaders of the entity manage safeguarding risks effectively, through regular identification, monitoring, reporting and review of risks.	✓			
Observations: Requirements of the Indicators are in place.					
Recommendation For Improvement:					
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Formal adoption of the Risk Management Policy. A separate record keeping policy is required ,noting the 50-year recommendation from the Royal Commission. We recommend including hyperlinks to the policies that are referred in the GSS policies, practices and legislation. 					
See recommendation #2					
Criterion 1.6 - Personnel understand their obligations on information sharing and record keeping.		Managed & Measurable	Defined & developed	Initial/ Ad-hoc	Not Addressed
1.6.1	The entity has documented information sharing and record keeping policies and procedures which are communicated to personnel.	✓			
1.6.2	The entity's information sharing and record keeping policies and procedures relating to all aspects of child safeguarding, including incidents and complaints, apply sound record keeping principles.	✓			
Observations: Requirements of the Indicators are in place. No recommendations for improvement noted.					

Standard 5	Robust human resource management
-------------------	---

People working with children are suitable and supported to reflect child safeguarding values in practice					
Criterion 5.1 - Recruitment, including advertising, interview questions, referee checks and personnel pre-employment screening, emphasises child safeguarding.		Managed & Measurable	Defined & developed	Initial/ Ad-hoc	Not Addressed
5.1.3	Positions are assessed for the expected level of contact with children and appropriate child safeguarding recruitment procedures are implemented.	✓			
Observations: Requirements of the Indicators are in place. No recommendations for improvement noted.					
Criterion 5.2 - Relevant personnel (including all seminarians, clergy and religious) have current working with children checks or equivalent background checks.		Managed & Measurable	Defined & developed	Initial/ Ad-hoc	Not Addressed
5.2.1	The entity has a policy which is implemented that ensures: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> personnel have a current working with children check as required by legislation, prior to working with children; and where a working with children check is not required by legislation, other background checks for personnel are conducted prior to working with children. 	✓			
5.2.2	The entity keeps records and monitors the status of working with children checks and/or background checks for all personnel.	✓			
Observations: Requirements of the Indicators are in place. No recommendations for improvement noted.					
Criterion 5.3 - Personnel receive an appropriate induction and are aware of child safeguarding responsibilities, including reporting obligations.		Managed & Measurable	Defined & developed	Initial/ Ad-hoc	Not Addressed
5.3.1	All personnel participate in a safeguarding induction program, which occurs as soon as possible after commencement.	✓			
5.3.2	All Church Authorities who are a signatory to a Service Agreement with ACSL are required to participate in the NCSS Introductory Session for Leaders within four months of commencement.	✓			
Observations: Requirements of the Indicators are in place. No recommendations for improvement noted.					

Standard 6		Effective complaints management			
Processes for raising concerns and complaints are responsive, understood, accessible and used by children, families, carers, communities and personnel					
Criterion 6.1 - The entity has an effective Complaints Handling Policy and procedures which clearly outline the roles and responsibilities, approaches to dealing with different types of complaints, reporting obligations and record keeping requirements.		Managed & Measurable	Defined & developed	Initial/ Ad-hoc	Not Addressed
6.1.1	The entity's policies, procedures and practices ensure that all mandatory reporting obligations are met.	✓			

6.1.2	There are documented procedures that provide step-by-step guidance on what action to take for different types of complaints, including breaches of Codes of Conduct, disclosures, allegations or concerns of abuse of a child, be they historic or current.		✓		
6.1.3	There are clear procedures for identifying and mitigating actual and perceived conflicts of interest in complaint management.	✓			
6.1.4	The entity works in cooperation with relevant organisations and seeks specialist advice from statutory child protection services when necessary	✓			
6.1.5	Key roles and responsibilities in relation to handling complaints are articulated within the Complaint Handling Policy and procedures.	✓			
6.1.6	The Complaint Handling Policy and procedures differentiate, where appropriate, between a child victim and an adult bringing forward a complaint of abuse suffered as a child.		✓		
6.1.7	A process is in place to record all child abuse complaints, incidents, allegations, disclosures, concerns and referrals. The system must be secure so that confidential information is stored, protected and retained for 50 years.	✓			
<p>Observations: Requirements of the Indicator are in place.</p> <p>Recommendations for improvement:</p> <p>Develop a flow chart for complaints management.</p> <p>Include a statement within the Complaints Policy on managing conflicts of interest.</p> <p>Include a statement differentiating complaints as outlined in 6.1.6.</p> <p>See recommendation #3</p>					
Criterion 6.2 - The entity has a child-focused complaints handling system that is understood by children, families, carers and personnel.		Managed & Measurable	Defined & developed	Initial/ Ad-hoc	Not Addressed
6.2.1	The complaints handling system prioritises the safety and well-being of children.	✓			
6.2.2	The Complaints Handling Policy and procedures are publicly available in a variety of formats, including age and developmentally appropriate for children, enabling complaints processes to be easily understood.		✓		
<p>Observations: Requirements of the Indicator are in place. No recommendations for improvement noted.</p>					
Criterion 6.3 - Complaints are taken seriously and responded to promptly and thoroughly.		Managed & Measurable	Defined & developed	Initial/ Ad-hoc	Not Addressed
6.3.1	The Complaints Handling Policy requires that, upon receiving a complaint of child abuse, an initial risk assessment is conducted to identify and minimise any risk to children. Ongoing risk assessments are required throughout all investigation processes.	✓			
6.3.2	The Complaints Handling Policy requires that at the completion of the initial risk assessment, where a complaint of child sexual abuse is plausible, and there is a risk that the person may come into contact with children, the person be stood down from their role and/or ministry while the complaint is investigated.	✓			
6.3.3	The Complaints Handling Policy is aligned, and operates in	✓			

	conjunction, with the entity's documented disciplinary and grievance policies and processes, in such a way that at the completion of the initial risk assessment, a breach or breaches of the Code of Conduct in relation to inappropriate behaviour towards a child are effectively investigated and managed, and include provisions for personnel to be redeployed, stood down and/or dismissed.				
6.3.4	Complainants are responded to promptly and kept informed as to the progress of dealing with their complaint.	✓			
6.3.5	Support and care are provided to a child who has experienced or is alleging abuse, and other affected parties.	✓			
6.3.6	Appropriate confidentiality is maintained with due regard for the Australian Privacy Principles and relevant legislation in relation to information sharing in the context of child safeguarding.	✓			
6.3.7	Documented policies and processes empower and support personnel to raise, in good faith, concerns and allegations about unacceptable behaviour towards children by other personnel.	✓			
6.3.8	Where a complaint related to child sexual abuse against a seminarian, clergy or religious is substantiated on the balance of probabilities, with due respect to the rights of individuals, the Church Authority should remove that individual from ministry.	✓			
6.3.9	Where a seminarian, clergy or religious is convicted of an offence relating to child sexual abuse, that individual should be permanently removed from ministry. The Church Authority must take practicable steps to prohibit that individual from holding themselves out as being a person with religious authority and should present a case to the relevant dicastery for dismissal from the clerical state and/or dispensation from vows	✓			
Observations: Requirements of the Indicators are in place. No recommendations for improvement noted.					
Criterion 6.4 - The entity has policies and procedures in place that address reporting of complaints and concerns to relevant authorities, whether the law requires reporting, and co-operates with law enforcement.		Managed & Measurable	Defined & developed	Initial/ Ad-hoc	Not Addressed
6.4.1	The Complaints Handling Policy requires that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> concerns and complaints of child abuse occurring within the entity be reported to the appropriate statutory authority/ies, regardless of whether the reporting is mandated; and personnel cooperate with law enforcement procedures and directives. 	✓			
Observations: Requirements of the Indicators are in place. No recommendations for improvement noted.					
Criterion 6.5 - Reporting, privacy and employment law obligations are met.		Managed & Measurable	Defined & developed	Initial/ Ad-hoc	Not Addressed
6.5.1	The Complaints Handling Policy requires that all relevant reporting, privacy and employment law obligations are met.	✓			
Observations: Requirements of the Indicators are in place. No recommendations for improvement noted.					

Criterion 6.6 - The Church Authority ensures mechanisms are in place to care for adult complainants.		Managed & Measurable	Defined & developed	Initial/ Ad-hoc	Not Addressed
6.6.1	The entity offers appropriate pastoral care to adult complainants, which recognises their unique needs. This should include an offer from the Church Authority to meet the complainant in person.	✓			
6.6.2	The Church Authority facilitates adult complainants' access to appropriately trained personnel whose clearly defined roles are to listen to and represent the pastoral needs of the complainant. This is done in consultation with the complainant.	✓			
Observations: Requirements of the Indicators are in place. No recommendations for improvement noted.					
Criterion 6.7 - The Church Authority ensures mechanisms are in place to monitor and support respondents facing allegations.		Managed & Measurable	Defined & developed	Initial/ Ad-hoc	Not Addressed
6.7.1	The Church Authority has access to appropriately trained personnel - lay, religious or clergy - whose clearly defined roles are to listen to and represent the pastoral needs of the respondent. This is done in consultation with the respondent.	✓			
6.7.2	The Church Authority has suitable arrangements in place for the monitoring and support of a respondent, where there is a plausible complaint, until (and if) the Church Authority no longer has responsibility for monitoring the respondent.	✓			
Observations: Requirements of the Indicators are in place. No recommendations for improvement noted.					

Standard 7		Ongoing education and training			
<i>Personnel are equipped with knowledge, skills and awareness to keep children safe through information, ongoing education and training</i>					
Criterion 7.1 - Personnel are trained and supported to effectively implement the entity's child safeguarding policies and procedures.		Managed & Measurable	Defined & Developed	Initial/ Ad-hoc	Not Addressed
7.1.1	The entity provides regular opportunities to educate/train personnel on its Child Safeguarding Policy and procedures including through induction and refresher safeguarding training (at least every three years).	✓			
7.1.2	The entity's induction and refresher safeguarding training must as a minimum cover: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Code of Conduct. • safeguarding risk management. • Child Safeguarding Policy and procedures. • Complaints Handling Policy and procedures. • reporting obligations; and e-safety training. 	✓			
7.1.3	The entity keeps records of participation to ensure all personnel attend induction and refresher safeguarding training.	✓			
7.1.4	The entity ensures that personnel who have specific child	✓			

	safeguarding responsibilities, such as those appointed to the role of safeguarding co-ordinator and those appointed to the Safeguarding Committee, receive ongoing support and professional development relevant to their role.				
Observations: Requirements of the Indicators are in place. No recommendations for improvement noted.					
Criterion 7.3 - Personnel receive training to enable them to respond effectively to child safeguarding risks, concerns, disclosures, and allegations of child abuse.		Managed & Measurable	Defined & developed	Initial/ Ad-hoc	Not Addressed
7.3.1	The entity provides training to equip relevant personnel to appropriately respond to and support those bringing forward concerns, disclosures and allegations of child abuse.	✓			
7.3.2	The entity provides training to ensure personnel are aware of information sharing and record keeping policies and procedures.	✓			
7.3.3	The entity provides training to ensure personnel are aware of their reporting obligations under state/territory legislative requirements including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> reporting criminal behaviour to police. mandatory reporting to child protection authorities. Reportable Conduct Scheme; and reporting to regulatory authorities/government departments 	✓			
Observations: Requirements of the Indicators are in place. No recommendations for improvement noted.					

Standard 8		Safe physical and online environments			
<i>Physical and online environments promote safety and contain appropriate safeguards to minimise the opportunity for children to be harmed</i>					
Criterion 8.2 - The online environment is used in accordance with the entity's Code of Conduct, safeguarding policies and procedures.		Managed & Measurable	Defined & Developed	Initial/ Ad-hoc	Not Addressed
8.2.1	Personnel access and use online environments in line with the entity's Code of Conduct and relevant communication protocols.	✓			
8.2.2	The entity routinely monitors the online environment, reporting and responding to breaches of its Code of Conduct or child safeguarding policies in accordance with the entity's disciplinary, complaint handling or other relevant processes.	✓			
Observations: Requirements of the Indicators are in place. No recommendations for improvement noted.					
Criterion 8.3 - Risk management plans consider risks posed by the entity's settings, activities and physical environments.		Managed & Measurable	Defined & Developed	Initial/ Ad-hoc	Not Addressed
8.3.1	The entity assesses safeguarding risks in the physical environments under its control or management including buildings, structures, open spaces, grounds, homes of religious and clergy, and arrangements for live-in carers/caretakers.	✓			
8.3.2	Where an entity becomes aware that a person (other than personnel of that entity) attending any of its services or activities is the subject of a substantiated complaint of	✓			

	child sexual abuse or has been convicted of an offence relating to child sexual abuse, the entity has in place and implements a process for assessing and managing the risks posed to children by that person's ongoing involvement in the service or activity.				
Requirements of the Indicators are in place. No recommendations for improvement noted.					
Criterion 8.4 - Entities that contract facilities and services to and from third parties have procurement policies that ensure safeguarding of children.		Managed & Measurable	Defined & developed	Initial/ Ad-hoc	Not Addressed
8.4.1	The entity considers the risks posed to children arising from any third parties engaged by the entity and conducts sufficient due diligence to ensure that the third party has appropriate child safeguarding practices and policies in place.	✓			
8.4.2	The entity has conducted sufficient due diligence on all third parties who use the entity's facilities to ensure child safeguarding practices and policies are in place.	✓			
Observations: Requirements of the Indicators are in place. No recommendations for improvement noted.					

Standard 9		Continuous improvement			
<i>Entities regularly review and improve implementation of their systems for keeping children safe</i>					
Criterion 9.1 - The entity regularly reviews and improves child safeguarding practices.		Managed & Measurable	Defined & developed	Initial/ Ad-hoc	Not Addressed
9.1.1	The entity has a clearly documented Safeguarding Implementation Plan which outlines the monitoring and continual improvement of child safeguarding practices. The Child Safeguarding Implementation Plan is regularly reviewed, progress is tracked, and actions/strategies updated.	✓			
9.1.4	The entity's Child Safeguarding Policy is subject to regular review – at least every three years.	✓			
Observations: Requirements of the Indicators are in place. No recommendations for improvement noted.					
Criterion 9.2 - The entity analyses concerns and complaints to identify causes and systemic failures to inform continuous improvement.		Managed & Measurable	Defined & developed	Initial/ Ad-hoc	Not Addressed
9.2.1	Processes are in place to analyse individual incidents or complaints relating to child safeguarding practices and/or failures.	✓			
9.2.2	Processes are in place to identify systemic issues or patterns and drive continuous improvement.	✓			
Observations: Requirements of the Indicators are in place. No recommendations for improvement noted.					

Standard 10		Policies and procedures support child safety			
<i>Policies and procedures document how the entity is safe for children</i>					
Criterion 10.1 - Policies and procedures address National Catholic Safeguarding Standards.		Managed & Measurable	Defined & Developed	Initial/ Ad-hoc	Not Addressed

10.1.1	All relevant policies and procedures reference appropriate safeguarding approaches, requirements and responsibilities.	✓			
Observations: Requirements of the Indicator are in place. No recommendations for improvement noted.					
Criterion 10.2 - Policies and procedures are accessible and easy to understand.		Managed & Measurable	Defined & developed	Initial/ Ad-hoc	Not Addressed
10.2.1	The entity's policies and procedures relevant to safeguarding are readily available and accessible to all personnel	✓			
Observations: Requirements of the Indicator are in place. No recommendations for improvement noted.					
Criterion 10.3 - Best practice policy models and stakeholder consultation inform the development and review of policies and procedures.		Managed & Measurable	Defined & developed	Initial/ Ad-hoc	Not Addressed
10.3.1	The entity has processes in place to monitor adherence to policies and procedures relevant to safeguarding.	✓			
10.3.2	The entity has processes in place to develop and review its policies and procedures relevant to safeguarding. These processes include consulting with and incorporating advice from experts, children, families, carers and communities.	✓			
Observations: Requirements of the Indicators are in place. No recommendations for improvement noted.					
Criterion 10.4 - The Church Authority and leaders model compliance with policies and procedures.		Managed & Measurable	Defined & developed	Initial/ Ad-hoc	Not Addressed
10.4.1	The Church Authority and leaders promote and enact all policies and procedures relevant to safeguarding.	✓			
Observations: Requirements of the Indicators are in place. No recommendations for improvement noted.					
Criterion 10.5 - Personnel understand and implement the policies and procedures.		Managed & Measurable	Defined & Developed	Initial/ Ad-hoc	Not Addressed
10.5.1	The entity encourages regular discussion and feedback from personnel on their understanding and practical implementation of policies and procedures.	✓			
Observations: Requirements of the Indicators are in place. No recommendations for improvement noted.					

3. Detailed Findings



Standard 1: Committed leadership, governance and culture

Child safeguarding is embedded in the entity's leadership, governance and culture

Recommendation #1		Priority 2
Criterion 1.1 - The entity publicly commits to child safeguarding and takes a zero-tolerance approach to child abuse.		
Details of finding	<p>The following points were noted:</p> <p>The entity publishes a Child Safeguarding Commitment Statement which is openly displayed and publicly available.</p> <p>The Leadership team have yet to sign off on the Safeguarding policy.</p>	
Recommendation	<p>We recommend the following:</p> <p>Make the Safeguarding commitment more visible on website.</p> <p>Sign off by the Leadership Team of the Safeguarding Policy.</p>	
Agreed Action	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> GSS will make the Safeguarding commitment explicit on their website and it will be accessible on their home page. The Leadership team will meet and endorse the Safeguarding policy at a Leadership team meeting 	
Responsibility	Provincial Leader	
Due date	ACSL expects actions to be initiated by 31 March 2022 and completed by September 2022.	

Recommendation #2		Priority 2
Criterion 1.5 - The entity has risk management strategies focusing on preventing, identifying, and mitigating risks to children.		
Details of finding	<p>The following points were noted:</p> <p>The entity has a clearly documented child safeguarding risk management plan, as part of its overall risk management strategy, which considers actual and potential risks relating to children.</p> <p>The entity has appropriate risk management processes in place to assess, evaluate, review and oversee the safeguarding of children participating in, or receiving, ministries offshore including cultural immersions, pilgrimages, solidarity campaigns and world youth days.</p>	
Recommendation	<p>We recommend the following:</p> <p>Formal adoption of the Risk Management Policy.</p> <p>A separate record keeping policy is required noting the 50-year recommendation from the Royal Commission.</p>	

	We recommend including hyperlinks to the policies that are referred in the GSS policies, practices and legislation.
Agreed Action	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. GSS will formally adopt the Risk Management Policy at a Leadership team meeting. 2. GSS will endorse through their Leadership Team a separate record keeping policy noting the 50-year recommendation from the Royal Commission. 3. GSS will update their policies to include the internal and external hyperlinks that are referred to as policies, practices and legislation.
Responsibility	Provincial Leader
Due date	ACSL expects actions to be initiated by 31 March 2022 and completed by September 2022.



Standard 6: Effective complaints management

Processes for raising concerns and complaints are responsive, understood, accessible and used by children, families, carers, communities and personnel

Recommendation #3		Priority 2
Criterion 6.1 - The entity has an effective Complaints Handling Policy and procedures which clearly outline the roles and responsibilities, approaches to dealing with different types of complaints, reporting obligations and record keeping requirements.		
Details of finding	<p>The following points were noted:</p> <p>There are documented procedures that provide step-by-step guidance on what action to take for different types of complaints, including breaches of Codes of Conduct, disclosures, allegations or concerns of abuse of a child, be they historic or current.</p>	
Recommendation	<p>We recommend the following:</p> <p>Develop a flow chart of complaints management.</p> <p>Include a statement within the Complaints Policy on managing conflicts of interest.</p> <p>Include a statement differentiating complaints as outlined in 6.1.6.</p>	
Agreed Action	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. GSS will develop a flow chart of complaint management which will be endorsed by the Leadership Team. 2. GSS will include a statement within the Complaint Policy on how any perceived conflict of interest will be managed. 3. GSS will include a statement on differentiating complaints between those made by a child or an adult who raises a complaint about an incident that occurred when they were a child. 	
Responsibility	Provincial Leader	
Due date	ACSL expects actions to be initiated by 31 March 2022 and completed by September 2022.	

Appendix A

COMPLIANCE ASSESSMENT SCALE

The compliance assessment of the entity's performance against each indicator will be determined using a four-point scale, as follows:

	General	Processes	People/Resources
Not Addressed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The entity has not addressed the required Indicator or is unable to demonstrate that the requirements of the indicator are in place and/or are operating effectively and continuously. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Processes are non-existent. Processes exist however the specific requirements of the indicator have not been addressed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No resources have been assigned.
Initial/Ad-Hoc	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The entity has commenced to address the indicator, however processes are ad-hoc or are applied on a case-by-case basis. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some relevant processes have been implemented which align with the requirements of the indicator, however they are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> siloes; and/or undocumented; and/or inconsistent; and/or lack clarity. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Capabilities vary across the entity. Resources are not formally assigned.
Defined and Developed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The entity has addressed the indicator and is in the process of implementing the requirements across the entity. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Relevant processes have been defined and developed, however are yet to be rolled out across the full operations of the entity. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Resources have been assigned and responsibilities defined, however there is no formal training or communication of standard procedures, and it is unlikely that deviations will be detected.
Managed and Measurable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The entity has demonstrated that Indicator requirements are formally embedded and are operating effectively and continuously. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Relevant processes are integrated and coordinated, including remote operations and activities. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Personnel have been trained to detect and report on deviations or break downs in processes. Resources have been assigned to monitor and address non-compliance.

Appendix B

AUDIT FINDING PRIORITIES

The following priority ratings have been used to assess findings arising from this audit:

Priority 1	Priority 2	Priority 3
<p>Gaps or control weaknesses have been identified resulting in non-compliance with the indicator.</p> <p>Mitigation actions are required to be developed and initiated as soon as practicable but no later than 30 days from the issuance of this report, with expected resolution within 3 months.</p>	<p>Progress has been made with respect to implementation of the required indicator, however full compliance is yet to be achieved.</p> <p>Mitigation actions are required to be developed and initiated within 3 months or earlier from the issuance of this report, with expected resolution within 6-9 months.</p>	<p>Issues have been identified which represent minor procedural weaknesses or improvement opportunities with respect to the operation of the indicator.</p> <p>Expected resolution is within 12 months or earlier from the issuance of this report.</p>

Appendix C

GLOSSARY

The definitions of terms used in the National Catholic Safeguarding Standards take into account Australian State, Territory and federal laws and relevant regulations, canon law, information from the Holy See, the Royal Commission into Institutional Responses to Child Sexual Abuse, the National Principles for Child Safe Organisations and the Glossary on Sexual Exploitation and Abuse published by the United Nations in 2017.

The glossary does not have any legal force and is meant only to serve as a reference tool for the National Catholic Safeguarding Standards. All terms and definitions are to be read in the context of these Standards alone.

Accessible language	means information is provided in multiple formats for individuals with different levels of English literacy and proficiency, modes of communication, languages, and cognitive abilities.
Allegation	means a complaint, still to be verified, claiming, or asserting that someone has committed an act of abuse against a child. The term is used interchangeably and in combination with “complaint”.
Australian Catholic Bishops Conference	means the national episcopal conference of the Catholic bishops of Australia. It is the instrumentality used by the Australian Catholic bishops to act nationally and address issues of national significance.
Bishop	means a diocesan bishop and archbishop and the ordinary of an ordinariate in the Latin Church and an eparch in the Eastern Churches.
Canon law	means the revised Code of Canon Law promulgated by His Holiness Pope John Paul II in 1983 and the Code of Canons of the Eastern Churches as promulgated in 1990 and any other universal or particular legislation promulgated by the competent ecclesiastical authority.
Canonical Steward	means the person(s) or other entity canonically responsible for the Catholic Entity.
Catholic Religious Australia	means the public name of the Australian Conference of Leaders of Religious Institutes (ACLRI). It is the peak body for leaders of Religious Institutes and Societies of Apostolic Life resident in Australia.
Child/ren	means individuals under 18 years of age.
Child abuse	<p>there are different legal definitions of child abuse in Australia. Most commonly, the categories of child abuse include sexual, physical, psychological, neglect, ill-treatment, exploitation and exposure to family violence. The following provides general definitions only. For specific legal definitions related to your state or territory please go to: https://aifs.gov.au/cfca/publications/cfca-resource-sheet/reporting-child-abuse-and-neglect</p> <p>Child abuse, when referenced throughout the National Catholic Safeguarding Standards, includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • physical abuse refers to any non-accidental physically aggressive act towards a child. Physical abuse may be intentional or may be the inadvertent result of physical punishment. Physically abusive behaviours include shoving, hitting, slapping, shaking, throwing, punching, biting, burning, and kicking. • sexual abuse refers to a person who uses power, force, or authority to involve a child or young person in any form of unwanted or illegal sexual activity. This can involve touching or no contact at all. This may take the form of taking sexually explicit photographs or videos of children, forcing children to watch or take part in sexual acts and

	<p>forcing or coercing children to have sex or engage in sexual acts with other children or adults.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • neglect refers to a failure by a caregiver to provide the basic requirements for meeting the physical and emotional developmental needs of a child. Physically neglectful behaviours include a failure to provide adequate food, shelter, clothing, supervision, hygiene or medical attention. • psychological abuse refers to inappropriate verbal or symbolic acts and a failure to provide adequate non-physical nurture or emotional availability. Psychologically abusive behaviours include rejecting, ignoring, isolating, terrorising, corrupting, verbal abuse and belittlement. • exposure to family violence is generally considered to be a form of psychologically abusive behaviour, where a child is present (hearing or seeing) while a parent or sibling is subjected to physical abuse, sexual abuse, or psychological maltreatment, or is visually exposed to the damage caused to persons or property by a family member’s violent behaviour; and • grooming refers to a pattern of behaviour aimed at engaging a child as a precursor to sexual abuse. It includes establishing a ‘special’ friendship/ relationship with the child. Grooming can include the conditioning of parents and other adults to think that the relationship with the child is ‘normal’ and positive. The process can take as little as a few days or as long as months or even years.
Child Safeguarding Commitment Statement	means a commitment statement describing an entity’s commitment to keep children safe from harm. It informs the entity’s culture with respect to child safeguarding.
Child safeguarding policies and procedures	means any policies or procedures of the entity that address elements of child safety. For example, but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • recruitment. • risk management. • complaints handling; and • acceptable use (information and communication technology).
Church Authority	means: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> A. the diocesan bishop (or archbishop, as appropriate) of a diocese or his administrator from time to time. B. the Australian major superior in respect of religious institutes; or C. the canonical steward in relation to a particular Catholic entity in respect of other Catholic entities not referred to in (a) or (b) above.
Civic engagement	means individual and collective actions designed to identify and address issues of public concern. Civic engagement includes citizens working together to make a change or difference in the community. The goal of civic engagement is to address public concerns and promote the quality of the community.
Clergy	means the body of those ordained in sacred ministry in the Church. They are either deacons, priests, or bishops.
Cleric	means a member of the clergy.
Clericalist/ism	means an attitude toward clergy/religious characterised by an excessive deference and an assumption of their moral superiority. Pope Francis has said of clericalism that it occurs when “clerics feel they are superior, [and when] they are far from the people.” He goes on to say that clericalism can be “fostered by priests themselves or by lay persons”.

	<p>When fostered by priests it may be demonstrated in an attitude where clerics see themselves as self-sufficient, superior to and separate from accountabilities of the world beyond the Church. When fostered by lay people it may be demonstrated by thinking that their contributions to the life of the Church are second-rate, or that in all things, surely 'Father knows best'.</p> <p>The features of clericalism are not restricted to the ordained (clergy and religious) nor to the Church alone. Abuse of an individual's function, role or power could be considered clericalist and could be exemplified through other attitudes such as not allowing criticism, being didactic rather than dialogical and being controlling rather than caring. It exists in hierarchical institutions such as academia, legal and medical establishments, the police and the military.</p>
Complainant	means any person who makes a complaint that may include any allegation, suspicion, concern, or report of a breach of the entity's code of conduct. It also includes disclosures made to an institution that may be about, or relate to, abuse in the entity's context.
Conflicts of interest	means situations where a conflict arises between a person's official duties and their private interests, which could influence the performance of those official duties. Such conflict generally involves opposing principles or incompatible wishes or needs.
Cultural safety	means an environment that is safe for people of all ethnicities and cultural identities: where there is no assault, challenge or denial of their identity, of who they are and what they need. It is about shared respect, shared meaning, shared knowledge and experience, of learning, living and working together with dignity and truly listening.
Dicastery	means departments of the Roman Curia, including the Secretariat of State, congregations, tribunals, councils and offices.
Diocese	means ecclesiastical jurisdiction under the leadership of a bishop or an archbishop. In this document it is used as an inclusive term, including eparchies, ordinariates and personal prelatures.
Entity	means an entity that has been identified as Catholic by a competent authority within the Catholic Church.
Eparchy	means a term used by the Eastern Catholic Churches to denote ecclesiastical jurisdictions under the leadership of a bishop or an archbishop (also called an eparch or an archeparch).
Exposure to family violence	refer to 'child abuse'.
Formation/formation program	means a program preparing individuals for ordination or profession of vows as well as a life-long journey to the invitation of Christ to proclaim and live the Gospel message within the life of the Church.
Grooming/grooming behaviour	refer to 'child abuse'.
Institutional abuse	<p>means, in the formal setting of an institution, child abuse caused by factors such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a "closed" culture within an organisation where transparency is discouraged. • failure to properly check the backgrounds and interview staff. • inadequate training of staff. • lack of child protection policies. • lack of support of staff by management. • poor communication skills; and/or • poor supervision of staff and children.

Lay/lay person	means members of the Catholic Church other than bishops, priests, deacons and religious.
Leaders	means personnel who are responsible for important governance decisions within a Church entity and/or who lead and coordinate Church improvement initiatives.
Leaders of Religious Institutes	means the person acting in that canonical role (by whatever name) from time to time.
Mentor	means an experienced and trusted advisor or a person who gives a younger or less experienced person help and advice over a period.
Ministerial PJP	means a public juridic person established by a religious institute which provides sponsorship and lay leadership for ministries of the religious institute, to ensure their continuation as works of the Catholic Church. The establishing authority for these entities is varied – some ministerial PJPs have been established by the Holy See through the Congregation for Institutes of Consecrated Life and Societies of Apostolic Life and are known as PJPs of pontifical right, others have been authorised by diocesan or provincial bishops. Canon law defines a public juridic person (PJP) as ‘an aggregate of persons or things constituted by the competent ecclesial authority to fulfil a proper function given them in view of the common good’ [Can. 114 §1].
Ministry	means any activity within, or delivered by, an entity that is designed to carry out the good works of the Catholic Church.
Neglect	refer to ‘child abuse’.
Offender	means a person who has admitted abuse or whose responsibility for abuse has been determined by a court of law (criminal or civil), statutory or Church procedure.
Ordinariate	means a non-geographical diocese, an example of which is the Catholic Military Ordinariate of Australia which is administered by a bishop with the faculties of an Ordinary and thus this organisation is known by the term ordinariate.
Overseas clergy and religious	means any cleric or member of a religious institute who is specifically recruited from overseas by a Church Authority or entity.
Personal prelatore	means a canonical structure of the Catholic Church which comprises a prelate, clergy and laity who undertake specific pastoral activities. The first personal prelatore is Opus Dei.
Personnel	means a cleric, member of a religious institute or other person who is employed by the entity or engaged on a contract, subcontract, voluntary or unpaid basis.
Physical abuse	refer to ‘child abuse’.
Position description	means a document which details the role, responsibilities, and expectations of a role within an entity and outlines reporting lines.
Professional/pastoral supervision	means a forum for reflection and learning, an interactive dialogue between at least two people, one of whom is professionally trained as a supervisor. The dialogue shapes a process of review, reflection, critique, and replenishment for personnel. Supervision is a professional activity in which personnel are engaged regardless of experience or qualification. Supervision assists personnel in their accountabilities for professional standards (including in relation to maintenance of professional boundaries), defined competencies for their role and understanding and implementation of organisational policy and procedures. For clerics and religious, professional/pastoral supervision assists in the maintenance of boundaries of the pastoral relationship and enhances the quality of their ministry.

	A cleric/ religious' commitment to conscious and critical reflection on their ministry and ministry experiences is recognised as being important for the wellbeing of the cleric/religious, the people with whom they exercise ministry, the wider Church, and the community.
Protective behaviours program	means an age-appropriate structured education program to equip children and young people with the skills and knowledge to enhance their personal safety.
Psychological abuse	refer to 'child abuse'.
Religious Institute	means an institute of consecrated life, a secular institute or society of apostolic life, and their provinces or equivalent.
Respondent	means a person against whom a complaint is made.
Safeguarding	means measures to protect the safety, human rights and well-being of individuals, which allow people – in this context children – to live free from abuse, harm and neglect.
Safeguarding Committee	means a committee established to advise and support the Church Authority on all matters relating to safeguarding, including the development and implementation of a Safeguarding Implementation Plan and coordination of annual self-audits at a local level. Committee members need relevant and varied professional expertise in relation to, but not limited to safeguarding, child protection, organisational culture and structure, policy development, and need to include lay women and men.
Safeguarding Co-ordinator	means an individual who champions safeguarding and co-ordinates the implementation of the National Catholic Safeguarding Standards within an entity.
Safeguarding Implementation Plan	means a documented plan which articulates actions to be taken across the entity to ensure safeguarding practices are in place. It includes actions, strategies, responsibilities and delegations and tracks review and progress. It is overseen by the Safeguarding Committee.
Seminary	means a centre for the formation and education of students preparing for ordination.
Sexual abuse	refer to 'child abuse'.
Spiritual abuse	means the abuse of a child that is perpetrated by an individual in a position of authority and trust within the Church, supposedly in the name of God. It can cause a child to have lifelong loss of faith and/or feel distanced from the Church.
Substantiated complaint	means allegations proven to be true or supported with evidence.
Third parties	means any individual, group, or organisation outside the entity who either contract services and facilities to or from the entity. For example, groups hiring Church facilities for private or public use (for example birthday parties, men's sheds, exercise groups), companies contracted to provide design and print work for an entity, and consultants.
Working with children check	is a generic term used in the Standards to denote the statutory screening requirement for people who work or volunteer in child-related work. There is no single national framework setting out requirements for 'working with children' checks. Each state or territory in Australia has its own name, procedures, and differences in scope regarding what this type of check entails. They are one part of a Church entity's recruitment, selection, and screening processes.